
From: Poling, Jeanie (CPC)
Sent: Wednesday, May 15, 2019 4:26 PM
To: Thomas, Christopher (CPC)
Subject: Balboa Reservoir cumulative noise - City College assumptions
Attachments: CCSF TDM Plan_2019-03-15_FP.pdf.pdf

Hi Chris,

I don't know if you've started your review of the noise section, but you should know about potential changes to the cumulative/City College assumptions. Which can affect the cumulative noise analysis. I added some comments regarding this possible change to the noise section.

[to update 3C Noise.docx](#) ([Desktop](#), [Web](#), [Mobile](#))

FYI, the version with the last round of edits for your review are here:

[3C Noise REDLINE.pdf](#) ([Desktop](#), [Web](#), [Mobile](#))

I'll touch base with you again after a Balboa Reservoir team meeting tomorrow to let you know how we want to proceed and whether it will affect the overall schedule. For now, I'm still hoping you'll complete your review this week because Wade will need to review all sections next Monday through Wednesday.

Thanks,
Jeanie

From: Wietgreffe, Wade (CPC)
Sent: Wednesday, May 15, 2019 10:50 AM
To: Poling, Jeanie (CPC) <jeanie.poling@sfgov.org>; 'syogi@esassoc.com' <syogi@esassoc.com>; White, Elizabeth (CPC) <elizabeth.white@sfgov.org>; McKellar, Jennifer (CPC) <jennifer.mckellar@sfgov.org>
Cc: Hong, Seung Yen (CPC) <seungyen.hong@sfgov.org>
Subject: City College

Hello,

Seung Yen and I met with City College representatives yesterday to discuss their facilities master plan, a forthcoming bond measure, and transportation at Ocean campus. The discussion highlighted some items that could affect our cumulative analysis in terms what we consider as reasonably foreseeable.

The current administrative draft EIR analysis relies on assumptions received from City College at the time environmental review commenced in fall 2018.

- City College Board of Trustees adopted a facilities master plan on March 21, 2019. According to City College, the plan is a visionary, feasibility document. Page 4-54 in their [master plan](#) shows the phasing plan of projects. West of Frida Kahlo, the plan shows the performing arts education center and a new parking garage. The performing arts education center apparently received CEQA clearance in 2004 and City College is assessing the effects of a revised project (see next bullet).
- City College is discussing potential projects and costs estimates of those projects. Those projects will inform the size of November 2019 bond. At the April 25 trustees hearing, they provided [an initial list](#). That initial list includes two phases of the performing arts education center, with phase 1 more near-term, and no new parking garage. City College is updating this list and will finalize it at the May 27 trustees hearing.
- City College presented a transportation demand management and parking plan at the March 21 trustees hearing. I have not reviewed this document yet. However, I know it includes some parking surveys and mode

split information. I intend to update the public services section in my comments on the current draft of the Initial Study to reflect this document.

I cannot attend the meeting tomorrow. I suggest you start a discussion on potential approaches and implications if we were to use this updated information.

Thank you,

Wade Wietgreffe, AICP, Principal Planner
Environmental Planning Division

San Francisco Planning Department
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 415.575.9050 | www.sfplanning.org
[San Francisco Property Information Map](#)